JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Memo dated 24th February 1911.

One Venkanna *alias* Venkoba Rao, a relative of Mr. N. Rama Rao, B.A., L.C.E., Assistant Engineer, Mysore Public Works Department, is reported to have been missing from home since 1st February 1911. The descriptive roll of the missing man is given below. It is requested that should the man be traced in the limits of his $\frac{\text{district}}{\text{taluk}}$, early intimation thereof may kindly be given to the undersigned.

Descriptive roll :—

Name—Venkanna *alias* Venkoba Rao.
Father's name—Venkatasubbaiya.
Native place—Nagalmadike in the Pavagada Taluk.
Age—about 30 years.
Stature—Lean and tall; has a long nose ; walks in somewhat a crooked way.
Colour—Neither fair nor black but medium. From his appearance, it can be made out that he is not of good sound sense.

He has taken with him one black long coat, one white short coat, one dark coloured Hassan cap (woollen), and a pair of half boots.

He is said to have been giving out that he is desirous of becoming a village school master. He has already visited Nanjangud and might go to Malvalli and other places.

B. S. RANOJI RAO,
Superintendent of Police.

IN THE COURT OF THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER AND FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE, KOLAR GOLD FIELDS.

Notice dated 3rd March 1911.

Notice is hereby given that sponge gold valued at Rs. 15-12-0 found on the person of one Arokiamari near the Champion Reef Cemetery on 1st March 1911 and produced before this Court in Criminal Case No. 568 of 1910-11 will be at the disposal of Government if not claimed within three months from this date.

P. F. BOWRING,
Senior Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Circular No. 9033-40, *dated 20th February—6th March* 1911.

In continuation of the orders of Government and Circulars of this office noted below relating to the Sorting of Revenue Records, the following instructions issued on a reference made by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, are published for information and guidance of the Deputy Commissioners, Sub-Division Officers and Amildars :—

(1) Government Proceedings No. R. 1800-9—L. R. 591 03-10, dated 20th September 1910.
(2) Government Proceedings No. R. 3081-9—L. R. 76-10-2, dated 11th November 1910.
(3) Government Proceedings No. R. 4062-70—L. R. 193-10-1, dated 27th December 1910.
(4) Revenue Commissioner's Circular No. 6712-19, dated 20—24th December 1910.
(5) Revenue Commissioner's Circular No. 7952-9, dated 28th January—7th February 1911.

Has the honor to note below in parallel columns the questions raised by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in his letter No. 6552-377, dated 4—9th February 1911, and the answers given thereto by the undersigned.

Questions.	*Answers.*
1. As the lists A and B appended to Government Proceedings No. R. 1800-9—L. R. 591-03-10, dated 20th September 1910, have undergone a thorough change as regards the number given to the several papers sorted for preservation under the two heads A and B whether the number already given to the papers under the old rules of the 19th November 1903, No. R. 1356-65 has to be changed according to the revised rules?	Yes; for the sake of uniformity and convenience of future reference.
2. As regards "B" papers which have now been ordered to be arranged according to their periods of preservation with a serial number and without any class number, whether they have to be numbered simply as per serial number or whether class number of the old	The class number of the original (or previous) "B" list need not be retained. Papers falling under each group should bear separate serial numbers as shown below :— B I „ where '1' stands for the group of all papers which have to be preserved for the

Questions.

(previous) "B" list should also be retained; as for example B—8 for 30 years.

8. Whether mere serial number has to be given or if old class number has also to be retained in column 4 of the Destruction Register.

Answers.

same period, *viz.*, 80 years and '2' the serial number of the paper in this particular group.

Mere serial number will suffice as from the contents of the Register itself it is clear that the Register is opened under the latest rules.

4. To facilitate the renumbering of papers already arranged according to old rules, a list showing the serial numbers given to "A" papers according to old rules with corresponding new numbers given to them as per revised rules is herewith sent for reference Copies of this list may be distributed among the Taluk Offices for the use of the Taluk Record staff.

Serial number of papers in the old "A" list of 1903.	Corresponding numbers for the same in the revised list of 1910.	Serial number of papers in the old "A" list of 1903	Corresponding numbers for the same in the revised list of 1910.
1	2	1	2
1	1	30	29
2	13	31	47
3	41	32	18
4	4	33	19
5	14	34	30
6	42	35	31
7	12	36	32
8	8	37	37
9	9	38	38
10	15	39	55
11	10	40	35
12	54	41	6
13	43	42	36
14	44	43	7
15	45	44	39
16	50	45	40
17	51	46	46
18	3	47	25
19	2	48	48
20	52	49	22
21	21	50	11
22	23	51	5
23	16	52	20
24	53	53	33
25	17	54	46
26	24	55	34
27	26	56	44
28	28	57	49
29	27		

Circular Memorandum No. 9199—206, *dated* 6—8*th March* 1911.

In inviting the attention of the Deputy Commissioners of Districts and other officers of the Revenue Department to Government Order No. 6499-532—R. 2227, dated the 22nd January 1897, insisting on officers signing their names legibly (republished below for ready reference), the Revenue Commissioner regrets to notice that the Government Order is very much neglected especially by the graded officers of small service and others employed in the lower ranks. He requests that the Deputy Commissioners will insist upon the due observance of this salutary direction and impress upon their subordinate officers that disregard of it will have to be reported to Government for due notice being taken.

2. It is also directed that where one officer signs for the head of the office or any other officer, the official designation of the former should also be added, as it becomes very difficult to guess the same after some years.

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR,
Revenue Commissioner.

G. O. No. 6499-532—R. 2227, DATED BANGALORE, 22ND JANUARY 1897.

"ORDER.—Government are pleased to reiterate the instructions contained in Order No. 9225-82-206, dated 27th February 1890, * * * * and to, impress upon Deputy Commissioners and Heads of Departments the desirability of requiring all their subordinate officers to sign their names in a legible manner."